

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
9/077,005	07/10/98	WU		X	P62045US0
		IM62/0209	,	EXAMINER	
ACOBSON PRIC	E HOLMAN &			GREEN	, А
00 SEVENTH S		••		· ART U	UNIT PAPER NUMBER
ASHINGTON DC	J 20004			1755	15
				DATE MA	JLED: _{02/09/00}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/077,005

Applicant()

WU et al

Examiner

Anthony J. Green

Group Art Unit 1755

тн	e peri	OD FOR RESPONSE	E: [check only a) or b)]						
	a) 🔲	expiresr	months from the mailing date o	of the final rejection.					
	ь) 🗌	is later. In no event, rejection.	however, will the statutory pe	riod for the response expire face	ailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever or than six months from the date of the final				
	date or	n which the response, to	the petition, and the fee have be	soon filed is the date of the rest	posed response and the appropriate fee. The conse and also the date for the purposes of on fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be set forth in b) above.				
X	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>27 Dec 1999</u> (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).								
Ap but	plicant is NO	t's response to the f T deemed to place	final rejection, filed on the application in condition		considered with the following effect,				
X	The p	roposed amendment	t(s):						
	□ w	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.							
		will not be entered because:							
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).							
		-	e of new matter. (See not						
		issues for appeal.			y materially reducing or simplifying the				
	X				er of finally rejected claims.				
	NO				and 57-58 are inconsistent with the				
			vnich they depend. See til form as previously indicate		te details. If claims are rewritten in				
		•							
		• •	has overcome the following	ng rejection(s):					
	<u>23</u>	<u>9, 31, 32, 35, 46, 4</u>	17, 40,						
X	Newl separ	y proposed or amen rate, timely filed ame	ided claimsendment cancelling the no	29-50 n-allowable claims.	_ would be allowable if submitted in a				
		affidavit, exhibit or re lowance because:	equest for reconsideration	has been considered but d	oes NOT place the application in condition				
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
X	For p	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
	Claim	Claims allowed:							
	Claim	s objected to:							
		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
					as not been approved by the Examiner.				
	Note	the attached Inform	ation Disclosure Statemer	nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	s)				
	Other	r			Anthony Diee				
					ANTHONY J. GREEN				

ART UNIT 1755